

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: http://sarah.jarchow.us/islt_7360/PilotSite/bean_pilot.html
2. Author of site evaluated: Sarah Bean
3. Evaluator's first name: Zach Jarchow

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM): Asus Laptop Running Windows 10, Core i7 Processor 2.59 Ghz, 16 GB Ram, Windows 10)
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0) Google Chrome 62.0.3202.89
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
Cable Modem

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification.** Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Website is very clearly organized with 1 main topic per page. Navigation through side menu is intuitive and always accessible (side menu does not go away on some pages)					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Same color scheme is used on all pages, which is good. Links change color after clicked (color for visited links in not overridden back to standard), which is not typical for a "portal" style website.					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Having previews of all content areas on the home page is useful. It is not necessary to return to the home page because of side menu. Side menu page names are all very "real world" and would be easy for parents to understand "Ie saying grades is much more intuitive than something like assignment performance".					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> There are links on the side menu and at footer. Would be intuitive for the header to also be a link, but text color style should be set so that it always looks the same.					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> All picture elements have a clear purpose (pictures of student / teacher).					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> File size seems reasonable and file types are web appropriate format.					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> These standard practices are addressed.					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5

Please explain your feedback - main title text slightly blends in with background image on the letter "a".

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Items appear accessible to those with a screen reader.

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree

CONTENT

1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

They do. Could add the overall site name after the individual page name (helpful if people bookmark the site). Example Teacher Blog - Sarah B Cool School

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Link purpose is easy to understand.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

No broken links.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Content is at an appropriate level.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Parents would return to view student progress.

6. Information is accurate and current. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

This is an example website, but content is all "real", not just place holder text.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Audience is parents viewing student progress.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> It is understood content is created by student's teacher.					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Yes, for both webmaster and individual teachers.					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> This is bias free.					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I do not see any copyrighted material.					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Spelling and grammar appears correct.					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> A "last updated" line in the footer addresses this requirement.					

HTML / CSS review comments:

- Nesting on DIVs appears appropriate
- style names are logical and descriptive of their purpose ("nav" rather than "Style1")
- On a production site, having an "index.html" that is served when just the directory path is visited is useful so the entire directory is not inadvertently visible to visitors.
- An appropriate level of "Extra" tags are filled out, like <figcaption> as well as alt text.
- Personally, on a portal type site I prefer to have links not change color when visited, since the content is changing and the visitor has probably visited all relevant pages on the first visit. .

